## Journal Metrics & DORA

Declaration on Research Assessment

Yonsei Medical Journal 전편집장 연세의대 미생물학교실

최 인 홍

## **Journal Metrics**

- JIF, SJR 또는 CiteScore
- KoMCI, KCI



JIF Journal Impact Factor JCR Journal Citation Reports SJR SCImago Journal Rank



JIF Journal Impact Factor SNIP Source Normalized Impact per Paper SJR SCImago Journal Rank



JIF Journal Impact Factor SNIP Source Normalized Impact per Paper SJR SCImago Journal Rank

### JIF vs. CiteScore (2016년)

#### 이전 **2년**간 출판된 모든 article이 2016년에 피인용된 회수 2014, 2015년 출판된 citable item 수

#### 이전 3년간 출판된 모든 article이 2016년에 피인용된 회수 2013, 2014, 2015년 출판된 모든 article 수

### CiteScore Metrics https://journalmetrics.scopus.com

#### **Journal Metrics**

Introducing CiteScore metrics for serials

We are proud to introduce CiteScore metrics from Scopus - comprehensive, current and free metrics for serial titles in Scopus.

Search or filter below to find the sources of interest and see the new metrics. Report using these annual metrics and track the 2016 metrics via the links to each title's Scopus source details page.

Be sure to use qualitative as well as the below quantitative inputs when presenting your research impact, and always use more than one metrics for the quantitative part.



Showing 22,256 titles

CiteScore metrics calculated on 31 May, 2016. SNIP and SJR calculated on 27 April, 2016.

Title	CiteScore	SJR	JIF	
1 Ca-A Cancer Journal for Clinicians	66.45	02.242	137.578	
2 Chemical Reviews General Chemistry	45.92 -	10.143	37.369	
3 Annual Review of Immunology Immunology and Allergy	41.20	02.720	35.543	
- Journal of Korean Medical Science General Medicine	1.39	0.567	1.256	
- Yonsei Medical Journal General Medicine	1.37	0.498	1.154	
	ELSEV	IER	CLARIVATE ANALYTICS	E S

### Conflict of Interest?



http://eigenfactor.org/projects/posts/citescore.php

### Conflict of Interest?



http://eigenfactor.org/projects/posts/citescore.php

### Conflict of Interest?

Publisher	No. of Journals	Mean Change in Ranks
Elsevier	1,462	127 <u>+</u> 49
Bentham	33	<b>-118</b> <u>+</u> 153
Cambridge University Press	192	<b>-247</b> <u>+</u> 185
Hindawi	39	<b>-410</b> <u>+</u> 320
Karger	77	<b>-177</b> <u>+</u> 204
Mary Ann Liebert	42	<b>-556</b> <u>+</u> 235
Nature journals	32	<b>-173</b> <u>+</u> 137
Oxford University Press	149	<b>-123</b> <u>+</u> 156
Springer Nature	1,177	<b>-81</b> <u>+</u> 55
Annual Reviews, Inc.	35	223 <u>+</u> 155
IEEE	129	1,294 <u>+</u> 222
SAGE	357	<b>452</b> <u>+</u> 127
Taylor & Francis	975	111 <u>+</u> 69

http://eigenfactor.org/projects/posts/citescore.php

### 국내 Metrics

- 국내학술지가 국내학술지를 인용하는 지수
- KoMCI는 KoreaMed 학술지 논문 간의 인용을 분석한다
- KCI는 국내 학술단체가 발간하는 학술지 논문 (최근 2년 또는 3년간 출판)의 인용을 분석한다

KoMCI KoreaMed Citation Index

KCI Korea Citation Index

# DORA

#### Declaration on Research Assessment





The San Francisco Declaration on Research Assessment (DORA), initiated by the American Society for Cell Biology (ASCB) together with a group of editors and publishers of scholarly journals, recognizes the need to improve the ways in which the outputs of scientific research are evaluated.

- Citation distributions within journals are highly skewed.
- The properties of the Journal Impact Factor are fieldspecific: it is a composite of multiple, highly diverse article types, including primary research papers and reviews.
- Journal Impact Factors can be manipulated (or "gamed") by editorial policy.
- Data used to calculate the Journal Impact Factors are neither transparent nor openly available to the public.



### For Publishers

- <u>Cease to promote journals by Impact Factor;</u> provide an array of metrics
- Focus on article-level metrics
- Identify different author contributions
- Open the bibliographic citation data
- Encourage primary literature citations



### **For Funding Agencies**

- <u>State that scientific content of a paper, not the</u> <u>JIF of the journal</u> where it was published, is what matters
- Consider value from all outputs and outcomes generated by research



### For Research Institutions

- When hiring and promotion, <u>state that scientific</u> <u>content of a paper, not the JIF of the journal</u> where it was published, is what matters
- Consider value from all outputs and outcomes generated by research



### **For Researchers**

- Focus on content
- Cite primary literature
- Use a range of metrics to show the impact of your work
- Change the culture!



### For Organizations That Supply Metrics

- Be transparent
- Provide access to data
- Discourage data manipulation
- Provide different metrics for primary literature and reviews

### JIF보다 적게 Citation된 개별 Article

Journal	JIF	% citable items below JIF
eLife	8.3	71.2%
EMBO J	9.6	66.9%
Nature	38.1	74.8%
Nature Comm	11.3	74.1%
PLOS ONE	3.1	72.2%
Proc R Soc B	4.8	65.7%
Science	34.7	75.5%
Sci Rep	5.2	73.2%
		2015년 JIF

doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/062109

### Citable Item에 따른 영향

lournal	Article		Review		Editorial Material		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	
eLife	5,459	84.4%	-	-	98	1.5%	
EMBO J	3,219	82.2%	472	12.1%	121	3.1%	
Nature	54,143	83.2%	3,554	5.5%	2,770	4.3%	
Nature Comm	43,957	88.5%	82	0.2%	-	-	
PLOS ONE	168,590	90.7%	2,753	1.5%	5	0.0%	
Proc R Soc B	4,462	76.3%	436	7.5%	31	0.5%	
Science	43,665	75.6%	5,816	10.1%	4,522	7.8%	
Sci Rep	29,668	86.2%	1	0.0%	2	0.0%	

2015년 JIF

제안

### 학술지는 **다양한** METRICS 분석 자료 제공

doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/062109.

				_
	Impact fact	or: a measure of how often an avera	ge article in a journal has been cited.	
	The impact f	factor of a journal is calculated by div	iding the number of current year	
	d			
		EIGENFACTOR		
201	h 20	15 rankings		
-				
	- II			
]   Ir	l y	SCOPUS METRICS		
•				
		2015 ranking		
	< y			_
g		2015 8 10	0.075	
		2015 SJR	2.375	
) j		SNIP	1.269	
<u> </u>   т	-	The SCImago Journal Rank	is very much like the Eigenfactor except that it is based	d
.   '		on the larger Scopus dataset.	It expresses the average number of weighted citations	
		received in the selected year	by the documents published in the selected journal in th	е
		three previous years, i.e. wei	ghted citations received in year x to documents	
		published in the journal in yea	rs (x-1), (x-2) and (x-3).	
•		· · · ·		
c		Source Normalized Impact	per Paper (SNIP): measures contextual citation impact	
k	150 —	by weighting citations based of	on the total number of citations in a subject field. The	
		impact of a single citation is g	iven higher value in subject areas where citations are	
	100 —	less likely, and vice versa.		
	50 —			
		Further information on Scopus	s metrics can be found here.	
	0			

제안

### 학술지는 **다양한** METRICS 분석 자료제공

학술지는 Crossref 에 논문정보를 OPEN

연구자는 ORCID 등록하여 개별업적 을 명확하게

대학 등 평가 기관은 여러 METRICS 를 사용하고 각 ARTICLE을 JIF 와는 별개로 평가

**ORCID** Open Researcher and Contributor ID

## 감사합니다